



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/521,442

03/07/2000

Gopinathan K. Menon

SC35U-US

1007

60723

7590

08/06/2009

AVON PRODUCTS, INC.
AVON PLACE
SUFFERN, NY 10901

EXAMINER

BARHAM, BETHANY P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1615

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/06/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENT.DEPARTMENT@AVON.COM

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/521,442	Applicant(s) MENON, GOPINATHAN K.	
	Examiner BETHANY BARHAM	Art Unit 1615	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bethany Barham.

(3) Jonathan Ball and Rita Wu.

(2) Michael Woodward.

(4) Charles Zeller.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 36,38-40,42-44,46-48 and 50-54.

Identification of prior art discussed: '109 and '834.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed prior art rejections of record with respect to the instant claims. Discussed submission of an after-final response to the Office Action of 6/9/09 in order to reconsider arguments in view of the Ellis dec previously submitted and the 103 rejection as to why one would include perilla oil specifically in a topical.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/MP WOODWARD/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615
--	---